1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney DONALD R. WORLEY, Assistant City Attorney JOHN E. RILEY, Deputy City Attorney California State Bar No. 144268 Office of the City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 San Diego, California 92101-4100 Telephone: (619) 533-5800 Facsimile: (619) 533-5856 Attorneys for Defendant City of San Diego UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT			
9	SOUTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	RAY LUTZ,) Case No. <u>'12CV2625 JM DHB</u>			
11	Plaintiff,) NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL) ACTION			
12	V.) [28 U.S.C. § 1446]			
13	CBRE Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Officer Tony Lessa, City of San Diego, and				
14	DOES 1-25, inclusive,) (San Diego Superior Court Case No:) 37-2012-00103865-CU-CR-CTL)			
15	Defendants.				
16		_1			
17	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTO	RNEYS OF RECORD:			
18	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant, City of San Diego, in the above-entitled action,				
19	has removed the action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California.				
20	STATEMENT OF GRO	OUNDS FOR REMOVAL			
21	Plaintiff alleges that a police officer of Defendant City of San Diego violated his civil				
22	rights under state and federal law.				
23	The Seventh Cause of Actions, Counts One, Two and Three, allege that the actions of the				
24	City and its police officer deprived Plaintiff of his federal constitutional rights and that his action				
25	is brought under 42 U.S.C. section 1983.				
26	///				
27	///				
28					

Case 3:12-cv-02625-JM-DHB Document 1 Filed 10/30/12 Page 2 of 18

This Court has original jurisdiction of the action pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. section 1331, and the action may therefore be removed to this Court by Defendant City of San Diego pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. sections 1441(b) and (c). Copies of all process and pleadings served on Defendant City of San Diego in the above-entitled action are attached hereto. This Notice of Removal is filed with this Court within thirty days after Defendant City of San Diego was served with the Complaint filed in San Diego Superior Court. Dated: October 29, 2012 JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney Bv <u>/s/John E. Riley</u> John E. Riley Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for Defendant City of San Diego

2012-11340

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL) NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): CBRE Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Officer Tony Le San Diego, and DOES 1-25, inclusive, YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): RAY LUTZ	FRECEIVED CATCLERK'S OF LOWER PROPERTY AT SUM-100 12 OC 11 PM 4: 23 SAN DIEGO, CALIF. SEP 13 PM 12: 07 SSAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA
NOTICEI You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your bel below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written responses, There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the court clerk for a fee walver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eliglible for free legal sthese nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifi (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar assicosts on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The JAVISOI Lo han demandador. Si no responde dentro de 30 dlas, la corte puede decontinuación. Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y proofte y hacer que se entregue una copla al demandante. Una carta o una llamada ten formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Abiblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más corca. Si no puede que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuest podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediate remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (ww.colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cualqueler recuperación	d on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy nose must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your these court forms and more information at the California Courts of the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filling fee, ask nay lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property of the case by default, and your wages, money, and property of the case of the
he name and address of the court is: El nombre y dirección de la corte es): San Diego Superior Court	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso): 37-2012-00103865-CU-CR-CTL
330 W. Broadway St. San Diego, CA 92101	
he name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandan Bryan Pease, 1901 First Ave., Suite 219, San Diego, CA 9210	te, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): 1 (619) 723-0369
PATE: SEP 1 3 2012 Clerk, by (Secrete	nrio) No. (Adjunto) No. (1)
for proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (for Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service o	m POS-010).) f Summons, (POS-010)). DCC NAME KN
NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You 1. as an individual defendant. 2. as the person sued under the fictit	are served Government of (specify): GCEIVED BY: MAIL
3, on behalf of (specify);	OTC antonium and control of the state of the
under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corp CCP 416.40 (association	oration) CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
other (specify): 4. by personal delivery on (date):	
OTTERRACION OF THE PROPERTY OF	Page 1 of 1

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

SUMMONS

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 www.courtinfo.ca.gov



Superior Court of California County of San Diego

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO IMAGING DEPARTMENT

This case has been assigned to an Imaging Department and original documents attached to pleadings filed with the court will be imaged and destroyed. Original documents should not be filed with pleadings. If necessary, they should be lodged with the court under California Rules of Court, rule 3.1302(b).

On August 1, 2011 the San Diego Superior Court began the Electronic Filing and Imaging Pilot Program ("Program"). As of August 1, 2011 in all new cases assigned to an Imaging Department all filings will be imaged electronically and the electronic version of the document will be the official court file. The official court file will be electronic and accessible at one of the kiosks located in the Civil Business Office and on the Internet through the court's website. This Program will be expanding to other civil courtrooms over time.

You should be aware that the electronic copy of the filed document(s) will be the official court record pursuant to Government Code section 68150. The paper filing will be imaged and held for 90 days. After that time it will be destroyed and recycled. Thus, you should not attach any original documents to pleadings filed with the San Diego Superior Court. Original documents filed with the court will be imaged and destroyed except those documents specified in California Rules of Court, rule 3.1806. Any original documents necessary for a motion hearing or trial shall be lodged in advance of the hearing pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1302(b).

It is the duty of each plaintiff, cross-complainant or petitioner to serve a copy of this notice with the complaint, cross-complaint or petition on all parties in the action.

On all pleadings filed after the initial case originating filing, all parties must, to the extent it is feasible to do so, place the words "IMAGED FILE" in all caps immediately under the title of the pleading on all subsequent pleadings filed in the action.

Please refer to the General Order - Imaging located on the San Diego Superior Court website at:



http://www.sdcourt.ca.gov/CivillmagingGeneralOrder

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101 BRANCH NAME: Central TELEPHONE NUMBER: (619) 450-7073 Ray Lutz PLAINTIFF(S) / PETITIONER(S): DEFENDANT(S) / RESPONDENT(S): CBRE Group Inc et.al. LUTZ VS. CBRE GROUP INC CASE NUMBER: NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT 37-2012-00103865-CU-CR-CTL

Judge: Steven R. Denton

Department: C-73

COMPLAINT/PETITION FILED: 09/13/2012

ALL CASES MUST COMPLY WITH THE CIVIL REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW, EXCEPT FOR PARKING CITATION APPEALS

IT IS THE DUTY OF EACH PLAINTIFF (AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT) TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH THE COMPLAINT (AND CROSS-COMPLAINT), THE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION FORM (SDSC FORM #CIV-730), A STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) (SDSC FORM #CIV-359), AND OTHER DOCUMENTS AS SET OUT IN SDSC LOCAL RULE 2,1,5.

ALL COUNSEL WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE FAMILIAR WITH SUPERIOR COURT RULES WHICH HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED AS DIVISION II, AND WILL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED.

- TIME STANDARDS: The following timeframes apply to general civil cases and must be adhered to unless you have requested and been granted an extension of time. General civil cases consist of all civil cases except: small claims proceedings, civil petitions, unlawful detainer proceedings, probate, guardianship, conservatorship, juvenile, and family law proceedings.
- COMPLAINTS: Complaints and all other documents listed in SDSC Local Rule 2.1.5 must be served on all named defendants, and a Certificate of Service (SDSC form #CIV-345) filed within 60 days of filing.
- DEFENDANT'S APPEARANCE: Defendant must generally appear within 30 days of service of the complaint, (Plaintiff may stipulate to no more than 15 day extension which must be in writing and filed with the Court.) (SDSC Local Rule 2.1.6)
- DEFAULT: If the defendant has not generally appeared and no extension has been granted, the plaintiff must request default within 45 days of the filling of the Certificate of Service. (SDSC Local Rule 2,1,7)
- CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE: A Case Management Conference will be set within 150 days of filing the complaint.

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR): THE COURT ENCOURAGES YOU TO CONSIDER UTILIZING VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES TO TRIAL, INCLUDING MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION, PRIOR TO THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. PARTIES MAY FILE THE ATTACHED STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (SDSC FORM #CIV-359).

YOU MAY ALSO BE ORDERED TO PARTICIPATE IN ARBITRATION. IF THE CASE IS ORDERED TO ARBITRATION PURSUANT TO CODE CIV. PROC. 1411.11, THE COSTS OF ARBITRATION WILL BE PAID BY THE COURT PURSUANT TO CODE CIV. PROC. 1141.28.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, SEE THE ATTACHED ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION FORM (SDSC FORM #CIV-730)

SDSC CIV-721 (Rev. 11-06)



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION

CASE NUMBER: 37-2012-00103865-CU-CR-CTL

CASE TITLE: Lutz vs. CBRE Group Inc.

NOTICE: All plaintiffs/cross-complainants in a general civil case are required to serve a copy of the following three forms on each defendant/cross-defendant, together with the complaint/cross-complaint:

- (1) this Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information form (SDSC form #CIV-730).
- (2) the Stipulation to Use Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) form (SDSC form #CIV-359), and
- (3) the Notice of Case Assignment form (SDSC form #CIV-721).

Most civil disputes are resolved without filling a lawsuit, and most civil lawsuits are resolved without a trial. The courts, community organizations, and private providers offer a variety of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) processes to help people resolve disputes without a trial. The San Diego Superior Court expects that litigants will utilize some form of ADR as a mechanism for case settlement before trial, and it may be beneficial to do this early in the case.

Below is some information about the potential advantages and disadvantages of ADR, the most common types of ADR, and how to find a local ADR program or neutral. A form for agreeing to use ADR is attached (SDSC form #CIV-359),

Potential Advantages and Disadvantages of ADR

ADR may have a variety of advantages or disadvantages over a trial, depending on the type of ADR process used and the particular case:

Potential Advantages

- Saves time
- Saves money
- Gives parties more control over the dispute resolution process and outcome
- · Preserves or Improves relationships

Potential Disadvantages

- May take more time and money if ADR does not resolve the dispute
- Procedures to learn about the other side's case (discovery), jury trial, appeal, and other court protections may be limited or unavailable

Most Common Types of ADR

You can read more information about these ADR processes and watch videos that demonstrate them on the court's ADR webpage at http://www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr.

Mediation: A neutral person called a "mediator" helps the parties communicate in an effective and constructive manner so they can try to settle their dispute. The mediator does not decide the outcome, but helps the parties to do so. Mediation is usually confidential, and may be particularly useful when parties want or need to have an ongoing relationship, such as in disputes between family members, neighbors, co-workers, or business partners, or when parties want to discuss non-legal concerns or creative resolutions that could not be ordered at a trial.

Settlement Conference: A Judge or another neutral person called a "settlement officer" helps the parties to understand the strengths and weaknesses of their case and to discuss settlement. The Judge or settlement officer does not make a decision in the case but helps the parties to negotiate a settlement. Settlement conferences may be particularly helpful when the parties have very different ideas about the likely outcome of a trial and would like an experienced neutral to help guide them toward a resolution.

Arbitration: A neutral person called an "arbitrator" considers arguments and evidence presented by each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence are usually relaxed. If the parties agree to binding arbitration, they waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. With nonbinding arbitration, any party may reject the arbitrator's decision and request a trial. Arbitration may be appropriate when the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial.

Other ADR Processes: There are several other types of ADR which are not offered through the court but which may be obtained privately, including neutral evaluation, conciliation, fact finding, mini-trials, and summary jury trials. Sometimes parties will try a combination of ADR processes. The important thing is to try to find the type or types of ADR that are most likely to resolve your dispute. Be sure to learn about the rules of any ADR program and the qualifications of any neutral you are considering, and about their fees.

Local ADR Programs for Civil Cases

Mediation: The San Diego Superior Court maintains a Civil Mediation Panel of approved mediators who have met certain minimum qualifications and have agreed to charge \$150 per hour for each of the first two (2) hours of mediation and their regular hourly rate thereafter in court-referred mediations.

On-line mediator search and selection: Go to the court's ADR webpage at www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr and click on the "Mediator Search" to review individual mediator profiles containing detailed information about each mediator including their dispute resolution training, relevant experience, ADR specialty, education and employment history, mediation style, and fees and to submit an on-line Mediator Selection Form (SDSC form #CIV-005). The Civil Mediation Panel List, the Available Mediator List, Individual Mediator Profiles, and Mediator Selection Form (CIV-005) can also be printed from the court's ADR webpage and are available at the Mediation Program Office or Civil Business Office at each court location.

Settlement Conference: The judge may order your case to a mandatory settlement conference, or voluntary settlement conferences may be requested from the court if the parties certify that: (1) settlement negotiations between the parties have been pursued, demands and offers have been tendered in good faith, and resolution has failed; (2) a judicially supervised settlement conference presents a substantial opportunity for settlement; and (3) the case has developed to a point where all parties are legally and factually prepared to present the issues for settlement consideration and further discovery for settlement purposes is not required. Refer to SDSC Local Rule 2.2.1 for more information. To schedule a settlement conference, contact the department to which your case is assigned.

Arbitration: The San Diego Superior Court maintains a panel of approved judicial arbitrators who have practiced law for a minimum of five years and who have a certain amount of trial and/or arbitration experience. Refer to SDSC Local Rules <u>Division II. Chapter III</u> and Code Civ. Proc. § 1141.10 et seq or contact the Arbitration Program Office at (619) 450-7300 for more information.

More information about court-connected ADR: Visit the court's ADR webpage at www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr or contact the court's Mediation/Arbitration Office at (619) 450-7300.

Dispute Resolution Programs Act (DRPA) funded ADR Programs: The following community dispute resolution programs are funded under DRPA (Bus. and Prof. Code §§ 465 et seq.):

- In Central, East, and South San Diego County, contact the National Conflict Resolution Center (NCRC) at <u>www.ncrconline.com</u> or (619) 238-2400.
- In North San Diego County, contact North County Lifeline, Inc. at www.nclifeline.org or (760) 726-4900.

Private ADR: To find a private ADR program or neutral, search the Internet, your local telephone or business directory, or legal newspaper for dispute resolution, mediation, settlement, or arbitration services.

Legal Representation and Advice

To participate effectively in ADR, it is generally important to understand your legal rights and responsibilities and the likely outcomes if you went to trial. ADR neutrals are not allowed to represent or to give legal advice to the participants in the ADR process. If you do not already have an attorney, the California State Bar or your local County Bar Association can assist you in finding an attorney. Information about obtaining free and low cost legal assistance is also available on the California courts website at www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/lowcost.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF S	AN DIEGO	FOR COURT USE ONLY
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway		
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway		
OITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101-3827		1
BRANCH NAME: Central		
PLAINTIFF(\$): Ray Luiz		
DEFENDANT(S): CBRE Group Inc et.al.		
SHORT TITLE: LUTZ VS, CBRE GROUP INC		
STIPULATION TO USE AL DISPUTE RESOLUTION		CASE NUMBER: 37-2012-00103865-CU-CR-CTL
Judge: Steven R. Denton		Department: C-73
The parties and their attorneys stipulate that the matternative dispute resolution (ADR) process. Sele	atter is at issue and the	ne claims in this action shall be submitted to the following options will not delay any case management timelines.
Mediation (court-connected)	Com	g private arbitration
Mediation (private)	Blnding priv	vate arbitration
Voluntary settlement conference (private)	☐ Non-binding	g judicial arbitration (discovery until 15 days before trial)
Neutral evaluation (private)	-	g Judicial arbitration (discovery until 30 days before trial)
Other (specify a g. private mini-trial private lucid		, and the state of
•		
It is also stipulated that the following shall serve as arbitra		eutral: (Name)
Oale:		Date:
Name of Plaintiff	***************************************	Name of Defendant
Signature		Signature
lame of Plaintiff's Attorney	1	Name of Defendant's Attorney
Signature	······································	Signature
f there are more parties and/or attorneys, please attach ac		
ls the duty of the parties to notify the court of any settlem ne court will place this matter on a 45-day dismissal calen	ent pursuant to Cal. Rul dar.	es of Court, rule 3.1385. Upon notification of the settlement,
lo new parties may be added without leave of court.		
ris so ordered.		house the second of the second
Paled: 09/13/2012		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Bryan W. Pease (SBN 239139) 2012 SEP 13 PM 2: 07 LAW OFFICES OF BRYAN W. PEASE 2 1901 First Ave., Suite 219 CLEMM-SUPERIOR COURT San Diego, CA 92101 SAW DIEGO COUNTY, CA 3 Tel.: 619-723-0369 Fax: 619-923-1001 4 E-mail: bryanpease@gmail.com 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff RAY LUTZ 7 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 10 RAY LUTZ, 11 Case No.: Plaintiff, 37-2012-00103865-CU-CR-CTL 12 COMPLAINT FOR BATTERY, vs. 13 NEGLIGENCE, INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL CBRE Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation, 14 DISTRESS, NEGLIGENT INFLICTION Officer Tony Lessa, City of San Diego, and OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, 15 DOES 1-25, inclusive, VIOLATIONS OF 42 U.S.C SECTION Defendants 16 1983, NEGLIGENT TRAINING; VIOLATION OF TOM BANE CIVIL 17 RICTS ACT [CIVIL CODE SECTION 52.1] 18 19 Plaintiff allegs: 20 **PARTIES** 21 Plaintiff RAY LUTZ is a resident of San Diego, California. 1. 22 2. Defendant CITY OF SAN DIEGO is a municipality. Said Defendant is 23 responsible for the hiring, training and supervision of its police officers. Said Defendant is sued 24 herein either as the employer of the remaining defendants, under a Respondeat Superior theory, 25 26 or, relative to claims brought under 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, because the conduct alleged herein 27 arose under color of law and/or as an official policy, practice and procedure of Defendant CITY 28 OF SAN DIEGO (hereafter CITY). 1

COMPLAINT

4. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1-25 inclusive, and therefore, sues these Defendants under such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of said fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the actions and damaged alleged herein. Plaintiff is furthermore informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of said fictitiously named Defendants was the agent, servant and employee of each and every other Defendant acting within the course and scope of his or her agency and employment and with the knowledge, ratification and consent of each respective principal. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint when their true names and capacities have been ascertained.

COMPIANCE WITH CLAIMS STATUTE

5. Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statue and Plaintiff has complied with that statute. The City denied Plaintiff's claim on March 15, 2012.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

6. This district is the proper venue and jurisdiction due to the location of some or all of the Defendants, and the location where the injury occurred.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 7. On or about November 29, 2011, Plaintiff set up a small, unobtrusive table in Civic Center Plaza for registering voters. Plaintiff registered five voters and had three people waiting to be registered when Defendants arrested Plaintiff.
- 8. The day before conducting these voter registration activities, Plaintiff hand delivered a letter to Cyndi Poes, employed by CBRE as Real Estate Manager of the Civic Center Plaza office building, citing relevant caselaw outlining his right to peacefully and unobtrusively register voters in areas that are open to the public.

9. The arrest was a citizen's arrest by private security that was then accepted by Officer Lessa. Plaintiff was charged with violating San Diego Municipal Code Section 52.80.01(A). However, Section 52.80.01(C) has a clear exception for the peaceful political activity Plaintiff was engaged in when he was arrested for registering voters on property that is open to the public.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (BATTERY)

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are realleged and incorporated by reference.
- 11. The conduct of Defendants, which involved non-consensual contact, and injury to Plaintiff, constitutes a battery.
- 12. After Plaintiff's arrest, he was left in the back of a police car for over an hour while handcuffed.
- 13. LESSA was acting within the course and scope of his duties as a police officer and in a manner consistent with CITY policy and practice, making said Defendant vicariously liable for his torts.
- 14. As a consequence of said conduct, Plaintiff suffered injury to his person and shock and injury to his psyche, entitling him to special damages for the care and treatment thereof.
- 15. Furthermore, Plaintiff is entitled to general damages for his physical and emotional pain and suffering.
- 16. The conduct of LESSA was intentional, malicious and/or in reckless disregard of the rights and safety of the Plaintiff, entitling him to punitive damages against the individual defendants named herein in an amount according to proof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (FALSE ARREST AND IMPRISONMENT)

17. The foregoing paragraphs are realleged and incorporated by reference. Defendants were aware that there was no reason to cause Plaintiff to be arrested, but did so anyway.

- 18. As a consequence of said conduct, Plaintiff suffered injury to his person and shock and injury to his psyche, entitling him to special damages for the care and treatment thereof.
- 19. Furthermore, Plaintiff is entitled to general damages for his physical and emotional pain and suffering.
- 20. The conduct of said Defendants was intentional, malicious and/or in reckless disregard of the rights and safety of the Plaintiff, entitling him to punitive damages in an amount according to proof against the individual defendants named herein.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS)

- 21. The foregoing paragraphs are realleged and incorporated by reference.
- 22. At all times relevant hereto, the conduct of Defendants was outrageous and not of the kind and quality to be tolerated in a civilized society, much less by law enforcement officials.
 - 23. Said conduct did, in fact, cause Plaintiff emotional distress.
- As a consequence of said conduct, Plaintiff suffered injury to his person and shock and injury to his psyche, entitling him to special damages for the care and treatment thereof.
- 25. Furthermore, Plaintiff is entitled to general damages for his physical and emotional pain and suffering.
- 26. The conduct of said Defendants was intentional, malicious and/or in reckless disregard of the rights and safety of the Plaintiff, entitling him to punitive damages in an amount according to proof.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS)

- 27. The foregoing paragraphs are realleged and incorporated by reference.
- 28. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants, and each of them, owed a duty to Plaintiff to refrain from conduct which would foreseeably cause him emotional distress. By the

conduct alleged herein, said Defendants breached this duty and negligently caused Plaintiff emotional distress.

- 29. As a consequence of said conduct, Plaintiff suffered injury to his person and shock and injury to his psyche, entitling him to special damages for the care and treatment thereof.
- 30. Furthermore, Plaintiff is entitled to general damages for his physical and emotional pain and suffering.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENCE AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 31. The foregoing paragraphs are realleged and incorporated by reference,
- 32. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants, and each of them, had a duty to use reasonable care in their conduct and in the discharge of their duties.
 - 33. In the actions described above, said Defendants negligently breached said duties.
- 34. As a consequence of said conduct, Plaintiff suffered injury to his person and shock and injury to his psyche, entitling him to special damages for the care and treatment thereof.
- 35. Furthermore, Plaintiff is entitled to general damages for his physical and emotional pain and suffering.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENT TRAINING AGAINST DEFENDANT CITY OF SAN DIEGO)

- 36. The foregoing paragraphs are realleged and incorporated by reference.
- 37. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant CITY had a duty to train its police officers in, inter alia, use of force, excessive force, probable cause for arrest, proper crowd control techniques, proper identification prior to engaging a suspect, investigations, and elements of resisting arrest.
- 38. Defendant CITY negligently failed to train the individuals named herein in these aspects of their duties, resulting in the harm and damages described in this complaint.

- As a consequence of said negligent training, Plaintiff suffered injury to his person and shock and injury to his psyche, entitling him to special damages for the care and treatment thereof.
- 40. Furthermore, Plaintiff is entitled to general damages for his physical and emotional pain and suffering.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. SECTION 1983)

COUNT 1

UNCONSTITUTIONAL RETALIATION AND CHILLING OF PLAINTIFF'S RIGHTS OF FREE SPEECH AND ASSEMBLY IN VIOLATION OF 1st AMENDMENT

- 41. The foregoing paragraphs are realleged and incorporated by reference.
- 42. The actions of Defendants constituted an unconstitutional and unreasonable deprivation of Plaintiff's rights of free speech and assembly, and were intended to retaliate against him for the exercise thereof, and were calculated to chill the exercise of the same. The same is actionable pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983. Said actions on the part of the Defendants were done under color of law.
- 43. As a consequence of said conduct, Plaintiff suffered injury to his person and shock and injury to his psyche, entitling him to special damages for the care and treatment thereof.
- 44. Furthermore, Plaintiff is entitled to general damages for his physical and emotional pain and suffering.
- 45. The conduct of said Defendants was intentional, malicious and/or in reckless disregard of the rights and safety of the Plaintiff, entitling him to punitive damages in an amount according to proof.
- 46. Furthermore, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988, Plaintiffs are entitled to attorney's fees expended in this action.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

COUNT 2

UNCONSTITUTIONAL SEIZURE OF PLAINTIFF'S PERSON, IN VIOLATION OF 4TH AMENDMENT AND 14TH AMENDMENT, AGAINST

- 47. The actions of Defendants constituted an unconstitutional and unreasonable seizure of Plaintiff's person in violation of Amendment IV to the U.S. Constitution, and is actionable pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983. Said actions were taken by Defendants acting under color of law.
- 48. As a consequence of said conduct, Plaintiff suffered injury to his person and shock and injury to his psyche, entitling him to special damages for the care and treatment thereof.
- 49. Furthermore, Plaintiff is entitled to general damages for his physical and emotional pain and suffering.
- 50. The conduct of Defendants was intentional, malicious and/or in reckless disregard of the rights and safety of the Plaintiff, entitling him to punitive damages in an amount according to proof.
- 51. Furthermore, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988, Plaintiff is entitled to attorney's fees expended in this action.
- 52. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby request a declaratory confirming the violation of his civil rights.

COUNT 3

UNCONSTITUTIONAL DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY INTERESTS WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, IN VIOLATION OF $5^{\rm TH}$ AMENDMENT AND $14^{\rm TH}$ AMENDMENT

53. The actions of Defendants constituted an unconstitutional deprivation of Plaintiff's liberty interests in violation of the 5th and 14th Amendments and is actionable pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983. Said actions were taken by Defendants acting under color of law.

- As a consequence of said conduct, Plaintiff suffered injury to his person and shock and injury to his psyche, entitling him to special damages for the care and treatment thereof.
- 55. Furthermore, Plaintiff is entitled to general damages for his physical and emotional pain and suffering.
- 56. The conduct of said Defendants was intentional, malicious and/or in reckless disregard of the rights and safety of the Plaintiff, entitling him to punitive damages in an amount according to proof.
- 57. Furthermore, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988, Plaintiff is entitled to attorney's fees expended in this action.
- 58. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby request a declaratory confirming the violation of his civil rights.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION (VIOLATION OF CAL. CIVIL CODE SECTION 52.1)

- 1. The foregoing paragraphs are realleged and incorporated by reference.
- 2. The conduct of Defendants constituted threats, intimidation and coercion that interfered with Plaintiff's rights of free speech and assembly. The same is actionable pursuant to California Civil Code section 52.1.
- 3. As a consequence of said conduct, Plaintiff suffered injury to his person and shock and injury to his psyche, entitling him to special damages for the care and treatment thereof.
- 4. Furthermore, Plaintiff is entitled to general damages for his physical and emotional pain and suffering.
- 5. The conduct of said Defendants was intentional, malicious and/or in reckless disregard of the rights and safety of the Plaintiff, entitling him to punitive damages in an amount according to proof.

1	6.	Furthermore, pursuant to Civil Code section 52.1, Plaintiff is entitled to attorney's
2	fees expended	I in this action and a statutory penalty of \$25,000.
3	į	
4	WHE	REFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants and each of them as
5	follows:	·
6	1.	For special damages for the care and treatment of physical injuries and emotional
7	distress;	
8	2.	For general damages in a sum to be determined according to proof;
9	3.	For punitive damages against individual defendants under applicable causes of
10	action;	
11	4.	For Declaratory relief under 42 U.S.C. Section 1983;
12	5.	For attorneys' fees under 42 U.S.C. Section 1988 and Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1;
13	6.	For costs of suit; and
15	7.	For such other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper.
16 17 18	DATED:	September 13, 2012 BRYAN W. PEASE Attorney for Plaintiff
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		·
27		
28		
		9

		<u>CM-010</u>
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State bur) Bryan Pease (239139)	number, and address):	FOR BOURT USE ONLY ILE DE CENTRAL DIVISION
- Bryan Pease (239139) 1901 First Ave., Suite 219		CENTRA NESS OF FICE .
San Diego, CA 92101		Marin MASICAL
тецерноме No.: <u>6</u> 19-723-0369	FAX NO.: 619-923-1001	WIZ SEP 13 PM 12: 07
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Ray Lutz		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sa	n Diego	SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CAL
STREET ADDRESS: 330 W. Broadway St.	•	SAN DIFFER SUPER COURT
MAILING ADDRESS:		LANGO COUNTY, CA.
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego 92101	•	
BRANCH NAME: Central Division		
CASE NAME:		
Ray Lutz v. CBRE, Inc.	D. D. D. D. L. Markey	CASE NUMBER:
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	37-2012-00103865-CU-CR-CTL
✓ Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	U.D.O.F.
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defende	ant JUDGE:
avocade \$25,000 \ \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
Items 1–6 bel	ow must be completed (see instructions o	n page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type tha	t best describes this case:	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto Tort		Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Auto (22)	Rule 3,740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Uninsured motorist (46)		Construction defect (10)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal injury/Property	Other collections (09) Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	production of the control of the con	Securities litigation (28)
Asbestos (04) Product liability (24)	Other contract (37) Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	oondemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)		Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
	Other judicial review (39)	Les Court It the energy permits y morte the
2. This case is since is	plex under rule 3.400 of the California Ru	les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana	gement: sented parties d. Large number	r of witnesses
a. Large number of separately repre		with related actions pending in one or more courts
b. Extensive motion practice raising	GIIII OFFICE TO THE STATE OF TH	les, states, or countries, or in a federal court
Issues that will be time-consumin	g	ostjudgment judicial supervision
c. Substantial amount of documents	name 1	primary
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	, ✓ monetary b. ✓ nonmonetary; d	leclaratory or injunctive relief c. 🚺 punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 8		
I la mot a ala	ss action suit.	manufacture of the second of t
5. This case	and serve a notice of related case. (Yoju j	nety use form CM-015.)
	· //	
Date: Sept. 13, 2012) //	
Bryan Pease (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	T 1 ML 1994 PM	IGNATURE OF PARTY OR AT ORNEY FOR PARTY)
	NOTICE Simb paper filed in the action or proceeding	n (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Propate Code, Pamily Code, or	A deligio and montations and a family	es of Court, rule 3.220.) Fallure to file may result
in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet in sanctions.	ver sheet required by local court rule. seq. of the California Rules of Court, you	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
		eet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2
Unless this is a collections case under full	6 0.7-10 of a complex odes) the stroy en-	Page 1 of 2 Cat Rules of Court tules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740;

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the by local rules of court. This form, the civil docket sheet. (SEE INST	approved by the Judicial Co	in neither replace no onference of the Uni	or supple ted State	ment the filing and se	rvice of p	oleadings or other papers as rec	uired by law, except as provided	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Ray Lutz				DEFENDANTS CBRE Group, Inc. a Delaware corporation, Officer Tony Lessa, City of San Diego				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed <u>San Diego</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Bryan W. Pease Law Offices of Bryan W. Pease 1901 First Ave., Ste. 219 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 723-0369				Attorneys (If Known) John E. Riley, Deputy City Attorney Office of the City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 533-5800 Table City Attorney 12CV2625 JM DHB				
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. C				Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No.	t a Party)	C	(For Diversity Cas	es Only) PTF 1	DEF 1 Incorporated or Princi of Business In Th		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of	Parties in Item III)		itizen of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 3	2 Incorporated and Princ of Business In Ar	nother State	
TY NAMED OF CUITO			C.	tizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	J	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (v) PRTS		FORFEITURE/PE	NALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Othe		610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & 625 Drug Related s of Property 21 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor State Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. I 730 Labor/Mgmt. R & Disclosure A CHORAL STATE 740 Railway Labor 790 Other Labor Li 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	ndards Relations eporting Act Act itigation	PROPERTY RIGHTS 320 Copyrights 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced an Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 895 Freedom of Information 470 Racketeer Influenced an Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determina 470 Racketeer Influenced an Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determina Under Equal Access to Justice Under Equal Access 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization 470 Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 490 Cabl		
	Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities – Other ☑ 440 Other Civil Rights	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Cond			-	26 USC 7609	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN ☐ 1 Original Proceeding Proceeding (Place an "X" ☐ 2 Remove State Grace of the control of the co		ded from 4	Reinsta Reoper	ted or 5 anothe			Appeal to District 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Here description of a Plaintiff alleges of	983 ause: civil rights viola	ations.	ïling (Do not cite ju	risdictio	nal statutes unless diversity	·):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		ON I	DEMAND \$		CHECK YES OF CHECK	only if demanded in complaint: ND: Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CASE((See instructions):	JDGE			·····	DOCKET NUMBER		
October 29, 2012 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of /s/ John E. R		NEY OF RECORD	·		- CHARLES COMMITTED ON A STATE OF THE STATE	
	10UNTA	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			siNet, inc. www.USCourtForms.com	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b.) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS-44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a) Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes** unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: <u>Unauthorized reception of cable service</u>

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 **RAY LUTZ** Case No.: **'12CV2625 JM** DHB Plaintiff. DECLARATION OF SERVICE 5 v. 6 CITY OF SAN DIEGO, et al, 7 Defendants. 8 I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this action; and that I served the individuals on the service list attached hereto the 9 following documents: CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET AND NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL 10 ACTION, in the following manner: (Check one) By personally serving the individual named by personally delivering the copies to 11 the offices of the addressee. 12 Time of delivery: a.m./p.m. 13 By electronic filing, I served each of the above referenced documents by E filing, 2)_ in accordance with the rules governing the electronic filing of documents in the 14 United States District Court for the Southern District of California, as to the 15 following parties: 16 3) XX By placing a copy in a sealed envelope and placing it for collection and mailing 17 with the United States Postal Service this same day, at my address shown above, following ordinary business practices. 18 Bryan W. Pease Law Offices of Bryan W. Pease 19 1901 First Avenue, Suite 219 20 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 723-0369 21 Executed: October 30, 2012, at San Diego, California. 22 23 ANNA LONERGAN 24 25 26 27 28